

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

**FILED**

2008 JAN -4 AM 11:26

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk  
CASE NO.: 07cv2299 DMS (BLM) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Sabraw  
CASE TITLE: Mendez v. Scribner, et al  
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter (Re: Certified Statement of Trust Account)

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED DATE: 1/2/2008

BY Plaintiff

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	<b>Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	83.9	<b>OTHER: Ex parte communication is prohibited.</b> <i>Plaintiff's Motion for IFP (along with trust account statements) was filed on 12/26/07. Order re IFP &amp; screening of complaint to follow.</i>

Date forwarded: 1/3/2008

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☒ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☐ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Sabraw

Dated: 1/3/08  
cc: All Parties

By: ICMB, ASLC